

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

MATTHEW BRENT GOETTSCHE
[DEFENDANT TWO]
JOBADIAH SINCLAIR WEEKS
JOSEPH FRANK ABEL
SILVIU CATALIN BALACI

Hon. Claire C. Cecchi

Criminal No. 19-877

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

This matter having come before the Court for consideration; and the United States being represented by Craig Carpenito, United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (by Jamie L. Hoxie, David W. Feder, Anthony P. Torntore, Assistant U.S. Attorneys, appearing (the “Government’s Attorneys”)); and defendant Matthew Brent Goetsche being represented by Rodney Villazor, Esq., Andrew Lourie, Esq., Hartley M.K. West, Esq., and Benjamin J.A. Sauter, Esq.; and defendant Jobadiah Sinclair Weeks being represented by Adam P. Schwartz, Esq., Andrew M. Hinkes, Esq., Michael L. Yaeger, Esq., and Simon A. Gaugush, Esq.; and defendant Joseph Frank Abel being represented by Jason J. LeBoeuf, Esq. (collectively, the “Defendants”); and the parties having conferred; and the Government having submitted the proposed amended scheduling order; and Defendants having agreed upon the Government’s proposed amended scheduling order; and the Court having determined that this matter may be treated as a criminal case that requires extensive discovery within the meaning of paragraph 4 of this Court’s Standing Order for Criminal

Trial Scheduling and Discovery; and the Court having accepted the proposed schedule of the parties for the exchange of the discovery, and for good cause shown,

It is on this ____ day of June, 2020, ORDERED that:

1. The Scheduling Order, dated May 21, 2020, remains in effect, except that the parties have agreed to the following amended schedule for the beginning of the process to review potentially privileged material (the “Filter Schedule”):

a. On June 15, 2020, the Government, through the Government’s filter attorney (the “Filter Attorney”) will provide each Defendant with a list of the search terms that have been used to segregate all potentially privileged and attorney work-product protected materials (“Potentially Privileged Materials”) within the Defendants’ personal electronic accounts (the “Personal Electronic Data”). On June 19, 2020, defendants will provide proposed additional search terms to the Filter Attorney. The Filter Attorney and Defendants agree that, with the exception of any terms which require resolution by the Court, the Filter Attorney will run search terms against the Personal Electronic Data and will provide each Defendant with a copy of, or with access to, Potentially Privileged Materials segregated from that Defendant’s Personal Electronic Data on or before July 15, 2020.

b. With respect to the paper records and material taken from devices seized on or about December 10, 2019 (the “December 10th Materials”), on June 19, 2020, each Defendant will provide the Filter Attorney with search

terms to be applied against the December 10th Materials that are associated with that Defendant, for the purpose of segregating Potentially Privileged Materials from other data. With the exception of any terms which require resolution by the Court, the Filter Attorney will run the search terms against the December 10th Materials and provide each Defendant with a copy of, or with access to, the Potentially Privileged Materials that are segregated from the December 10th Materials that are associated with that Defendant on or before July 15, 2020.

Honorable Claire C. Cecchi
United States District Judge